

MUGEN 1st Transgenesis Gene Targeted Mutagenesis Course Athens, April 10-13, 2006

Course Evaluation Report

1. Introduction

The 1st MUGEN Transgenesis / Gene Targeted Mutagenesis Course was organized by Drs Dimitris Kontoyiannis and George Kollias, at the Biomedical Sciences Research Centre "Alexander Fleming" in Vari, Greece.

The course aimed to diffuse unique knowledge and newly developed methodologies from individual laboratories and the NOE's Transgenesis Core Unit to individual researchers both at pre- and post- doctoral level, offering complementary training in specialized, advanced technologies in transgenic generation and analysis with emphasis on immunology.

The course was widely advertised prior to its commencement. Apart from the online advertisement on the MUGEN (and the Fleming) website, an advert was posted on Nature (paper journal and "Nature Events" website). Invitations and posters of the course were sent out to:

- i) participating MUGEN laboratories,
- ii) other academic and research institutions,
- iii) individual leading researchers.

Interested students were invited to register online at the MUGEN webpage (<u>www.mugen-noe.org</u>) and submit, with their application, a letter of support by their scientific supervisor. After the online registration was closed, late registration was offered to interested students via email.

50 students were registered to attend at the first instance. This was the maximum number of students organizers had agreed to accept, given the highly specialized nature of the course and the need for interaction among students and lecturers. Of these, 46 actually attended the course (with one last minute cancellation and three no-shows). For a list of participants, please see Annex I of this report.

Lectures were delivered by 22 MUGEN laboratories' Group Leaders. Dr. Markus Manz was unable to attend at the last minute due to illness. A list of lecturers is annexed to this report (Annex II).

The Course Programme covered a variety of transgenesis issues. It addressed the basic principles of gene manipulation in the mouse from construct design to phenotypic analysis of transgenic mice. There was also a special focus on transgenic systems in immunology, in order to offer students relevant insights on how to experimentally address their research questions. In addition, the course covered high-throughput platforms of transgenesis and mouse archiving. For a full copy of the course programme, please see Annex III of this report.

At the end of the four day lecture course, participants and lecturers were asked to complete an evaluation form (Annex IV). Results are presented in detail in the next section.

2. Evaluation Results

Of the 67 participants, 35 completed and returned a questionnaire (52% of the total), 30 participating students and 5 lecturers. Of these, 12 were male and 23 were female. Most of the respondents were PhD students (60%) at their late twenties (26-30 years of age), followed by post doctoral fellows (17%) and senior academics (11%).

It appeared from the responses that most participants heard of the course via one of their colleagues (54%) followed by the advertisement of the course on the MUGEN webpage and the posters sent out by the organizers (both 17%). This indicates that the most effective advertisement for such events is "word of mouth" (which is essentially supported by poster announcements, emails and advertisement on related websites). Graph 1 depicts in detail responses to question 4 ("how did you learn about the course?") of the evaluation questionnaire.



Graph 1: How did you learn about the course?

With regards to the course content, almost all participants responded that it lived up to their expectations or even surpassed them (94%), with only 2 participants (6%) suggesting that it would be nicer if it included more practical sessions (in tutorial format).

Almost all participants (32 out of the 35, **91.5%**) responded that both the size and the length of the lectures was appropriate, with only a minority (3 people, a 8.5% of the total respondents) requesting slightly shorter lectures (45 minutes max) followed by a lengthier discussion session. These findings were also reflected in the response of the majority (**91.5%**) of participants and lecturers to question 9 of the questionnaire, who stated that the course offered sufficient opportunity / time for discussion.

All of the respondents (**100%**) thought that the course offered an excellent opportunity to meet with other participants, including speakers. This tendency was also reflected in question 11 d on the evaluation of the social programme of

the meeting, which was characterized as "**excellent**" by **63%** of the respondents and as "**very good**" by **35%** of the respondents.

When asked to evaluate in particular which section / lecture(s) of the course they found most helpful, most participants indicated Dr. Alexiou's lecture on the "Principles of Mammalian Genetics and Development" (45.7%) closely followed by Dr. Pasparakis' lecture on "Transgenic animal models for the analysis of intracellular signaling cascades" (42.8%), Dr. Rutz's lecture on the "Application of siRNA technologies to primary cells of the immune system" (34%), Dr. Episkopou's lecture on "Gene Traps" (28.6%) and Dr. Kioussis' lecture on "In Vivo Imaging" (25.7%).

Graph 2 depicts responses to question 11a on the quality of the scientific talks of the course, whereas Graphs 3 and 4 depict responses to questions 11b and 11 c of the evaluation questionnaire respectively.







Graph 3: Please evaluate the organizational aspects of the course

Graph 4: Please evaluate the lecture course programme



As can be seen from the data above as well as data in the Graphs 2-4, the overwhelming majority of respondents were extremely satisfied both with the organization of the course and with its actual content and lecture delivery. Such responses, which were also supported by oral feedback from participants, included comments such as "the course should be repeated", "thank you for an excellent course" etc. Responses to the overall evaluation of the course are depicted in Graph 5.



Graph 5: Overall evaluation of the course

3. Conclusion

On the whole, the course was characterized as very successful by participants and lecturers alike and there were strong recommendations to repeat it. Most participants did not comment in detail on the lectures or the content of the course. Nonetheless, some early PhD students commented on the high specificity of some lectures, which focused too much on the presentation of research findings without allowing for the theoretical background and, thus, hindered students from following them fully. The organizers also acknowledged the need to further enrich the course, should it be repeated, with some tutorial style classes.

ANNEX I

MUGEN Transgenesis/ Gene Targeted Mutagenesis Course April 10-13, 2006, Athens, Greece

Participant List – Contact Details

No	SURNAME	NAME	INSTITUTION	CONTACT EMAIL
1	Agallou	Maria	Laboratory of Molecular Immunology, Hellenic Pasteur Institute	mariaagallou@pasteur.gr
2	Bouzarelou	Dimitra	Department of Biology, University of Athens and NCSR Demokritos	dbouzarelou@bio.demokritos.gr
3	Chandras	Christina	Developmental Biology, Foundation of Biomedical Research of the Academy of Athens	cchandras@bioacademy.gr_
4	Eftychi	Christina	Biomedical Sciences Research Centre "Al. Fleming"	<u>eftychi@fleming.gr</u>
5	Erpapazoglou	Zoe	Department of Biology, University of Athens and NCSR Demokritos	zerpap@bio.demokritos.gr_
6	Farahnaz	Hatam	DRFZ	hatam@drfz.de
7	Fotopoulou	Stella	Biomedical Sciences Research Centre "Al. Fleming"	fotopoulou@fleming.gr
8	Gareus	Ralph	EMBL Monterotondo	gareus@embl-monterotondo.it
9	Gartaganis	Vassiliki	University of Patras	vasgart@yahoo.gr
10	Holeva	Rodanthi	University of Crete	holeva@biology.uoc.gr
11	Holeva	Maria	Department of Plant Biology, Agricultural University of Athens	mholev@yahoo.com
12	Kanellis	Dimitris	Faculty of Medicine, University of Crete	dkanellis@edu.med.uoc.gr
13	Kapsogeorgou	Efstathia	Medical School, University of Athens	menman@med.uoa.gr
14	Kardakaris	Rozina	EMBL Monterotondo	rkardakaris@yahoo.co.uk
15	Kateifidis	Andreas	Faculty of Medicine, University of Crete	ankateif@med.uoc.gr

No	SURNAME	NAME	INSTITUTION	CONTACT EMAIL
16	Katouna	Aphroditi	Hellenic Pasteur Institute	afkatouna@hotmail.com
17	Katsanou	Vicky	Biomedical Sciences Research Centre "Al. Fleming"	v.katsanou@fleming.gr
18	Koeck	Juliana	DRFZ	<u>koeck@drfz.de</u>
19	Kotsikoris	Vasilis	EMBL Monterotondo	<u>vasiliskotsikoris@yahoo.gr</u>
20	Kotsoni	Anastasia	Biomedical Sciences Research Centre "Al. Fleming"	<u>kotsoni@fleming.gr</u>
21	Kranc	Kamil	MRC	kamil.kranc@ndm.ox.ac.uk
22	Kyriazis	Giannis	Hellenic Pasteur Institute	g.kyriazis@pasteur.gr_
23	Leichter	Michael	National Hellenic Research Foundation	
24	Lourda	Magdalini	Laboratory of Molecular and Cellular Ageing, National Hellenic Research Foundation	mlourda@eie.gr
25	Martvnez dela Torre	Yeny	Instituto Clinico Humanitas	yeny.martinez de la torre@humanitas.it
26	Mavridou	Sofia	University of Crete	sofia_mavridou@hotmail.com
27	Michas	George	Faculty of Medicine, University of Crete	ouksou@yahoo.com
28	Mosialou	Ioanna	Faculty of Medicine, University of Crete	gi-mosialou@hotmail.com
29	Nakou	Magdalene	Rheumatology and Clinical Immunology Laboratory, University of Crete	mnakou@tellas.gr
30	Nikopoulos	George	Maine Medical Centre Research Institute	gnikopou@mac.com
31	Ntokorou	Margarita	Department of Biology, University of Patras	mntokorou@gmail.com
32	Panayotopoulou	Effrosini	Laboratory of Medical Microbiology, Hellenic Pasteur Institute	e.panayotopoulou@pasteur.gr
33	Panoutsopoulos	Alexis	University of Patras	alexpan2004@yahoo.gr
34	Papadaki	Olympia	Biomedical Sciences Research Centre "Al. Fleming"	o.papadaki@fleming.gr
35	Pertesi	Maroulitsa	Laboratory of Molecular Diagnostics, NCSR Demokritos	pertesi@rrp.demokritos.gr
36	Poga	Vassiliki	Influenza Reference Centre, Hellenic Pasteur Institute	vp7289@mbg.duth.gr
37	Poulou	Maria	Biomedical Sciences Research Centre "Al. Fleming"	poulou@fleming.gr_
38	Riemer	Pamela	GBF	pamela.riemer@gbf.de
39	Roulis	Manolis	Biomedical Sciences Research Centre "Al. Fleming"	roulis@fleming.gr
40	Segklia	Katerina	Biomedical Sciences Research Centre "Al. Fleming"	segklia@fleming.gr

No	SURNAME	NAME	INSTITUTION	CONTACT EMAIL
41	Spachidou	Maria	Medical School, University of Athens	menman@med.uoa.gr
42	Taoufik	Era	Hellenic Pasteur Institute	era_taoufik@yahoo.com
43	Thanassopoulou	Artemis	Biomedical Sciences Research Centre "Al. Fleming"	a.thanassopoulou@fleming.gr
44	Theodorakis	Kostas	Developmental Neurosurgery Laboratory, Medical School, University of Crete	theodora@imbb.forth.gr_
45	Thodi	Georgia	Laboratory of Molecular Diagnostics, NCSR Demokritos	gt3535@mbg.duth.gr
46	Tsalavos	Sotiris	Biomedical Sciences Research Centre "Al. Fleming"	tsalavos@fleming.gr
47	Tserga	Aggeliki	Biomedical Sciences Research Centre "Al. Fleming"	tserga@fleming.gr
48	Vereecke	Lars	VIB- Ghent University	larsv@dmbr.ugent.be
49	Vidaki	Marina	Developmental Neurosurgery Laboratory, Medical School, University of Crete	mvidaki@imbb.forth.gr

ANNEX II

MUGEN Transgenesis / Gene Targeted Mutagenesis Course, April 10-13, 2006, Athens, Greece

List of Lecturers – Contact Details

SURNAME	NAME	INSTITUTION	EMAIL
Kollias	George	FLEMING	g.kollias@fleming.gr
Alexiou	Maria	FLEMING	m.alexiou@fleming.gr
Schmidt - Supprian	Marc	CBR Institute	supprian@cbrinstitute.org
Graf	Daniel	FLEMING	d.graf@fleming.gr
Economides	Aris	REGENERON	aris.economides@regeneron.com
Gelderman	Куга	ULUND	kyra.gelderman@inflam.lu.se
Garbi	Natalio	DKFZ	n.garcia@dkfz-heidelberg.de
van den Broek	Maries	EXPIMMZH	maries@van-den-broek.ch
Kissenpfennig	Adrien	CNRS	kissenpfennig@ciml.univ-mrs.fr
Grassi	Fabio	IRB	fabio.grassi@irb.unisi.ch
Pasparakis	Manolis	EMBL	pasparakis@embl-monterotondo.it
Garlanda	Cecilia	HUMANITAS	cecilia.garlanda@humanitas.it
Mueller	Werner	GBF	wmugdf@gmail.com
Beer	Sandra	UNI DUESS	sandra.beer@uni-duesseldorf.de
Rutz	Sacha	DRFZ	scheffold@drfz.de
Bousso	Philippe	PASTEUR	bousso@pasteur.fr
Kioussis	Dimitris	MRC-NIMR	dkiouss@nimr.mrc.ac.uk
Kontoyiannis	Dimitris	FLEMING	d.kontoyiannis@fleming.gr
Nawijn	Martijn	NKI-AVL	<u>m.nawijn@nki.nl</u>
Manz	Markus	IRB	markus.manz@irb.unisi.ch
Episkopou	Vasso	MRC	vepiskop@csc.mrc.ac.uk
Douni	Eleni	FLEMING	e.douni@fleming.gr
Matteoni	Raffaelle	CNR-IBC	rmatteoni@ibc.cnr.it

ANNEX III

MUGEN Transgenesis / Gene Targeted Mutagenesis Course, April 10-13, 2006, Athens, Greece

Course Programme

Monday, April 10, 2006

Basic Principles of gene manipulation in the mouse

9.00 - 9.30	Registration /	Coffee	
9.30 – 10.30	Overview: Transgenic systems in gene discovery and validation	G. Kollias	FLEMING
10.30 – 11.00	Coffee Bre	eak	
11.00 – 12.30	Principles of Mammalian Genetics and Development	M. Alexiou	FLEMING
12.30 – 13.30	Conditional Gene Targeting	M. Schmidt- Supprian	CBR
13.30 – 14.30	Lunch Bre	ak	
14.30 – 15.30	In Vivo Imaging	D. Kioussis	MRC
15.30 – 16.00	Coffee Bre	eak	
16.00 – 17.00	Novel Approaches to Transgene Design and Construction	D. Graf	FLEMING
17.00 – 18.00	New Perspectives in genome Engineering	A. Economides	REGENERON
18.30 – 20.00	Dinner Reception	at Fleming	

Tuesday, April 11, 2006

Transgenic Systems for the Analysis of Immune Responses

9.00 – 10.00	Defining the basis of immunological disease through the analysis of genetic susceptibility	K. Gelderman	ULUND
10.00 - 11.00	Mouse models for analyzing antigen representation	N. Garbi	DKFZ
11.00 – 11.30	Coffee Bre	eak	
11.30 - 12.30	Analyzing the specificity of adaptive immune responses: Tolerance	M. van den Broek	EXPIMMZH
12.30 – 13.30	Dynamics and function of langerhans cells in vivo	A. Kissenpfennig	CNRS
13.30 – 14.30	Lunch Bre	ak	
14.30 – 15.30	Calcium dependent shaping of T cell activation	F. Grassi	IRB
15.30 – 16.00	Coffee Bre	eak	
16.00 – 17.00	Transgenic animal models for the analysis of intracellular signaling cascades	M. Pasparakis	EMBL
17.00 – 18.00	Analyzing Innate Immunity	C. Garlanda	HUMANITAS
18.30 – 20.00	Dinner Reception	at Fleming	

Wednesday, April 12, 2006

Transgenic Systems for the Analysis of Immune Responses

9.00 - 10.00	Infections in mouse mutants deficient in cytokine / cytokine receptor genes	W. Muller	GBF
10.00 - 11.00	Schematic analysis of immune effector functions in infection and transplantation	S. Beer	UNI DUESS
11.00 – 11.30	Coffee Bre	eak	
11.30 - 12.30	Application of siRNA technologies to primary cells of the immune system as an alternative to generate transgenic animals	S. Rutz	DRFZ
12.30 – 13.30	Two photon Imaging	P. Bousso	PASTEUR
13.30 – 14.30	Lunch Bre	ak	
14.30 - 15.30	Transgenic animal models for the analysis of immune gene expression	D. Kontoyiannis	FLEMING
15.30 – 16.00	Coffee Bre	eak	
16.00 – 17.00	Transgenic Animal Models in cancer research	M. Nawijn	NKI-AVL
17.00 - 18.00	Humanized Mice	M. Manz	IRB
18.30 – 21.00	Dinner		

Thursday, April 13, 2006

High Throughput Mutagenesis

9.00 - 10.00	Gene Traps	V. Episkopou	MRC
10.00 - 11.00	Random ENU Mutagenesis	E. Douni	FLEMING
11.00 – 11.30	Coffee Bre	eak	
Mouse Resourc	<u>es</u>		
11.30 – 12.30	Resources for genetically engineered mice	R. Matteoni	EMMA
12.30 - 13.00	Closing Remarks	G. Kollias	FLEMING
13.00 – 14.00	Buffet Lun	nch	

ANNEX IV



MUGEN 1st Transgenesis Gene Targeted Mutagenesis Course Athens, April 10-13, 2006

Course Evaluation Questionnaire

We kindly ask you to fill in this questionnaire. The organizers will collect it at the end of the course. Thank you for your help!

1	Please specify		
1.	a.) age: under 25 26-30	31-35 36-40 +40	0
	b.) gender : male	female	
	c) nationality:		
	c.) hationanty:		
2.	Please tick the box which most accur Senior Academic Postdoctoral Fell	rately describes your position:	
	Industry Other		
3.	Were you a speaker or a participant? speaker participant		
4.	How did you learn about the lecture	course?	
	Poster	MUGENwebpage	
	Advert in Nature	From a colleague	
	Other source*		
	*Please specify:		
5.	Did the lecture course live up to your	expectations?	
	yes 🗌 no 🗌		
	Comments:		
6.	Was the size of the lectures appropri	ate?	
	yes 🗌 no 🗌		
	Comments:		
7.	Was the length of the lectures appro	priate?	

8. Was the	re adequate opp	portunity to	o meet other pa	irticipants,	, including
speaker	s?				
yes ∐ Commer	no 🔄				
9. Was the	re sufficient tim	ne for discu	ission?		
Commer	nts:				
10. Which le	ectures did you	find particu	ularly helpful?		
10. Which le	ectures did you	find particu	ularly helpful?		
10. Which le	ectures did you f	find particu	ularly helpful?		
10. Which le 11. Please g a.) the qual	ectures did you t 	find particu II) evaluati ific talks a	ularly helpful? on of: t the lecture co	urse	
10. Which le	ectures did you t ive your (overa ity of the scient Very Good 🗌	find particu II) evaluati ific talks af Good []	on of: t the lecture con Adequate	urse Poor	Unsatisfactory
10. Which le	ectures did you f ive your (overa ity of the scient Very Good [] nizational aspec	find particu II) evaluati ific talks at Good Cts of the l	on of: t the lecture con Adequate	urse Poor 🗌	Unsatisfactory
10. Which le	ectures did you f ive your (overa ity of the scient Very Good Nizational aspect	find particu II) evaluati ific talks at Good Cts of the la Good Good	Jarly helpful?	urse Poor 🗌 Poor 🗌	Unsatisfactory
10. Which le	ive your (overa ity of the scient Very Good Nizational aspect Very Good very Good very Good	find particu II) evaluati ific talks at Good cts of the la Good Good	Jarly helpful?	urse Poor 🗌 Poor 🗌	Unsatisfactory Unsatisfactory
10. Which le	ectures did you f ive your (overa ity of the scient Very Good Very Good Very Good very Good Very Good Very Good Very Good	find particu II) evaluati ific talks at Good Cts of the la Good camme Good Good	Ion of: t the lecture con Adequate Adequate Adequate Adequate Adequate	urse Poor 🗌 Poor 🗌 Poor 🗌	Unsatisfactory
10. Which le	ectures did you f ive your (overa ity of the scient Very Good Very Good Very Good Very Good Very Good Very Good Very Good	find particu II) evaluati ific talks at Good cts of the la Good camme Good f the cours	Ion of: t the lecture con Adequate Adequate Adequate Adequate Adequate Adequate Adequate Adequate Adequate	urse Poor Poor Poor dinners)	Unsatisfactory
10. Which le	ive your (overa ive your (overa ity of the scient Very Good very Good very Good very Good very Good very Good very Good very Good very Good very Good	find particu II) evaluati ific talks at Good [] cts of the la Good [] ramme Good [] f the cours Good []	alarly helpful?	Poor Po	Unsatisfactory
10. Which le	ive your (overa ive your (overa ity of the scient Very Good nizational aspec Very Good very Good very Good very Good very Good very Good course evaluatio	find particu II) evaluati ific talks at Good cts of the la Good cood f the cours Good f the cours	alarly helpful?	Poor	Unsatisfactory
10. Which let 11. Please g 11. Please g a.) the qual Excellent [] b.) the orga Excellent [] c.) the lectu Excellent [] d.) the socia Excellent [] 12. Overall (Excellent []	ive your (overa ive your (overa ity of the scient Very Good nizational aspec Very Good ire course progr Very Good in programme o Very Good Very Good Course evaluation	find particu II) evaluati ific talks at Good [] cts of the la Good [] f the cours Good [] f the cours Good [] f the cours Good []	alarly helpful?	Poor Poor Poor Poor Poor Poor Poor Poor Poor	Unsatisfactory
10. Which let 11. Please g 11. Please g a.) the qual Excellent [] b.) the orga Excellent [] c.) the lectu Excellent [] d.) the socia Excellent [] 12. Overall (Excellent [] 13. We wou	ive your (overa ive your (overa ity of the scient Very Good nizational aspect Very Good very Good very Good very Good Very Good Very Good Very Good Very Good Course evaluation	find particu II) evaluati ific talks at Good [] cts of the la Good [] ramme Good [] f the cours Good [] on: Good [] on: My addition	al comments or	urse Poor Poor	Unsatisfactory